## **2022 Annual Accreditation Report**

CAEP ID:	10575	AACTE SID:	3545
Institution:	Northwestern Oklahoma State University		
Unit:	Division of Education		

#### Section 1. EPP Profile Updates in AIMS

Please review the Educator Preparation Provider's (EPP's) profile in AIMS and update the following information for: Contact Persons, EPP Characteristics, Program Listings. [See the Annual Report Technical Guide for additional guidance.]

#### **1.1 Update Contact Information in AIMS:**

1.1.1 I confirm that the EPP has listed and updated the contact information for the individual(s) designated as "EPP Head."

[The individual(s) identified as the EPP head should have authority over the EPP. This contact may receive time-sensitive communications related to the accreditation of the EPP.]

Agree Disagree

0

1.1.2 I confirm that the EPP has listed and updated the contact information for the individual(s) designated as "CAEP Coordinator".

[The individual(s) identified as the CAEP Coordinator should have a role in coordinating accreditation activities. This contact may be carbon copied on communications to the EPP head.]

Agree Disagree

1.1.3 I confirm that the EPP has provided updated contact information for two distinct people for these roles.

[CAEP requires that EPPs provide information for two distinct contact persons to ensure that automatic communications sent from AIMS are received by the EPP in the event of personal turnover.]

Agree Disagree

0

#### **1.2 Update EPP Information in AIMS:**

1.2.1 *Basic Information* - I confirm that the EPP's basic information (including mailing address and EPP name) are up to date and accurately reflected in AIMS.

[The individual(s) identified as the EPP head should have authority over the EPP. This contact may receive time-sensitive communications related to the accreditation of the EPP.]

Agree Disagree

1.2.2 *EPP Characteristics and Affiliations* - I confirm that the EPP characteristics and affiliations (including Carnegie classification, EPP type, religious affiliation, language of instruction, institutional accreditation, and branch campuses/sites) are up to date and accurately reflected in AIMS

[The individual(s) identified as the CAEP Coordinator should have a role in coordinating accreditation activities. This contact may be carbon copied on communications to the EPP head.]

Agree Disagree

1.2.3 Program Options - I confirm that EPP's program listings (including program name, program

review level, certificate level, program category, and program review option) are up to date and accurately reflected in AIMS for all EPP programs that fall within CAEP's scope of accreditation; (programs outside of CAEP's scope of accreditation should be archived and not listed in AIMS).

Agree Disagree

### Section 2. EPP's Program Completers [Academic Year 2020-2021] 2.1 How many candidates completed programs that prepared them to work in P-12 settings during Academic Year 2020-2021?

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

2.1.1 Number of completers in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure $^{1}$	32
2.1.2 Number of completers in <u>advanced</u> programs or programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools (Do not include those completers counted above.) <sup>2</sup>	17
Total number of program completers	49

 $^1$  For a description of the scope for Initial and Advanced programs, see Policy II in the  $\underline{\text{CAEP}}$  Accreditation Policies and Procedures

#### **Section 3. Substantive Changes**

Please report on any substantive changes that have occurred at the EPP/Institution or Organization, as well as the EPP's current regional accreditation status.

# Have any of the following substantive changes occurred at your educator preparation provider or institution/organization during the 2020-2021 academic year?

3.1 Has there been any change in the EPP's legal status, form of control, or ownership?

#### Change 💿 No Change / Not Applicable

3.2 Has the EPP entered a contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach out agreements?

Change 💿 No Change / Not Applicable

3.3 Since the last reporting cycle, has the EPP seen a change in state program approval?

#### Change 💽 No Change / Not Applicable

3.4. What is the EPP's current regional accreditation status?

Accreditation Agency:

Oklahoma Commission for Educational Quality & Accountability

Status:

Accredited to fall 2026

Does this represent a change in status from the prior year?

Change 💿 No Change / Not Applicable

3.5 Since the last reporting cycle, does the EPP have any other substantive changes to report to CAEP per CAEP's Accreditation Policy?

Change 💿 No Change / Not Applicable

#### Section 4. CAEP Accreditation Details on EPP's Website

Please update the EPP's public facing website to include: 1) the EPP's current CAEP accreditation status with an accurate listing of the EPP's CAEP (NCATE, or TEAC) reviewed programs, and 2) the EPPs data display of the CAEP Accountability Measures for Academic Year 2020-2021.

#### 4.1. EPP's current CAEP (NCATE/TEAC) Accreditation Status & Reviewed Programs

4.1 Provider shares a direct link to the EPP's website where information relevant to the EPP's current accreditation status is provided along with an accurate list of programs included during the most recent CAEP (NCATE or TEAC) accreditation review.

https://www.nwosu.edu/school-of-education/education

#### 4.2. CAEP Accountability Measures (for CHEA Requirements) [2020-2021 Academic Year]

Provider shares a direct link to its website where the EPP's display of data for the CAEP Accountability Measures, as gathered during the 2020-2021 academic year, are clearly tagged, explained, and available to the public.

CAEP Accountability Measures (for CHEA Requirements) [2020-2021 Academic Year]

- Measure 1 (Initial): Completer effectiveness. (R4.1)Data must address: (a) completer impact in contributing to P-12 student-learning growth AND (b) completer effectiveness in applying professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions.
- Measure 2 (Initial and Advanced): Satisfaction of employers and stakeholder involvement. (R4.2|R5.3| RA4.1)
- Data provided should be collected on employers' satisfaction with program completers.
- Measure 3 (Initial and Advanced): Candidate competency at completion. (R3.3) Data provided should relate to measures the EPP is using to determine if candidates are meeting program expectations and ready to be recommended for licensure. (E.g.: EPP's Title II report, data that reflect the ability of EPP candidates to meet licensing and state requirements or other measures the EPP uses to determine candidate competency at completion.)
- Measure 4 (Initial and Advanced): Ability of completers to be hired (in positions for which they have prepared.)

CAEP Accountability Measures (Initial) [LINK] https://www.nwosu.edu/school-of-education/education

CAEP Accountability Measures (Advanced) [LINK] https://www.nwosu.edu/school-of-education/education

### Section 5. Areas for Improvement, Weaknesses, and/or Stipulations

Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report. The EPP will continue to report its action and progress on addressing its AFI(s), weaknesses and/or stipulations until the EPP's next CAEP Accreditation Site Review.

# Section 6. EPP's Continuous Improvement & Progress on (advanced level) Phase-in Plans and (initial-level) Transition Plans

Please share any continuous improvement initiatives at the EPP, AND (if applicable) provide CAEP with an update on the EPP's progress on its advanced level phase-in plans and/or initial level transition plans.

# 6.1 Summarize any data-driven EPP-wide or programmatic modifications, innovations, or changes planned, worked on, or completed in the last academic year.

This is an opportunity to share targeted continuous improvement efforts your EPP is proud of. Focus on one to two major efforts the EPP made and the relationship among data examined, changes, and studying the results of those changes.

The EPP piloted the use of the Candidate Preservice Assessment of Student Teaching (CPAST) in 2020-2021 as the assessment of student teachers. The CPAST was developed by The Ohio State University. The EPP decided to pilot the assessment because, (1) its validity had been verified, (2) OSU provides initial and ongoing training that is valid and reliable. (3) OSU provides aggregate and disaggregate data for the institution (4) compares the institution's data to national data, and (5) is aligned with InTASC standards. The instrument includes a pedagogy evaluation section (planning for instruction and assessment; instructional delivery; assessment; analysis of teaching) and a professional dispositions evaluation section (professional commitment and behaviors; professional relationship; critical thinking and reflective practice). During 2020-2021 the EPP provided training for supervisors and mentors of student teachers. The data from the pilot year of the assessment to the EPP were in multiple formats (graphs, means, etc.), by program, by individual, standard deviation, etc. The data are presented for the mid-term evaluation and the final evaluation allowing the EPP to determine the extent to which candidate growth did or did not occur during student teaching. In the analysis of the data reports from 2020-2021, the EPP found the reports were extensive, comprehensible, and meaningful. The use of this assessment is free. The Ohio State University, in return for granting access to the assessment, is allowed to use the EPP's data in aggregate form for comprehensive analysis at the national level of the student teaching experience. The EPP has found that one of the positive aspects of using this instrument is the "Three-way meeting". This meeting consists of the student teacher, the mentor teacher, and the institution's supervisor. During the meeting, the three individuals go through the assessment instrument as a team determining the level of performance in each area as it pertains to the student teacher. Having direct input into the assessment on the part of the three individuals has been seen as highly productive by the EPP. At the mid-term and final conferences, the student teacher sets goals. The first goals at the mid-term meeting are goals for the student teacher to reach at the end of the semester. The goals set at the final meeting are goals for the student teacher during the first year of teaching. The initial data review by the EPP has revealed areas of strength and areas of weaknesses on the part of the student teachers. These are detailed in Measure 3 on the EPP's website for accreditation and in the files attached to this section of the report. The EPP has formally adopted the CPAST for the foreseeable future. The data provided are very useful in determining the extent to which completers have applied the InTASC standards in a PK-12 setting.

6.1.2 Would the provider be willing to share highlights, new initiatives, assessments, research, scholarship, or other activities during a CAEP Conference or in other CAEP Communications?

💽 Yes 🔘 No

6.1.3 Optional Comments

R1.1 The Learner and Learning	
R1.2 Content	
R1.3 Instructional Practice	
R1.4 Professional Responsibility	
<b>R2.1 Partnerships for Clinical Preparation</b>	n
R2.2 Clinical Educators	
R2.3 Clinical Experiences	
R5.1 Quality Assurance System	
R5.2 Data Quality	
R5.3 Stakeholder Involvement	
P5 4 Continuous Improvement	

Upload data results or documentation of data-driven changes.

Ø CPAST\_Spring\_2021\_(Measure\_3).pdf
Ø CPAST\_Fall\_2020\_(Measure\_3).pdf

#### Section 8: Feedback for CAEP & Report Preparer's Authorization

8.1 . [OPTIONAL] Just as CAEP asks EPPs to reflect on their work towards continuous improvement, CAEP endeavors to improve its own practices. To this end, CAEP asks for the following information to identify areas of priority in assisting EPPs.

8.1.1 What semester is your next accreditation visit? fall 2026

8.1.2 Does the EPP have any questions about CAEP Standards, CAEP sufficiency criteria, or the CAEP accreditation process generally?

Not at this time

**8.2 Preparer's authorization.** By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2022 EPP Annual Report, and that the details provided in this report and linked webpages are up to date and accurate at the time of submission.

#### I am authorized to complete this report.

#### **Report Preparer's Information**

Name:	Christee L. Jenlink
Position	Associate Dean, School of Education
	580-327-8450
E-mail:	cljenlink@nwosu.edu

I understand that all the information that is provided to CAEP from EPPs seeking initial accreditation, continuing accreditation or having completed the accreditation process is considered the property of CAEP and may be used for training, research and data review. CAEP reserves the right to compile and issue data derived from accreditation documents.

#### Acknowledge